T.E.M.P.O Tree Evaluation Sheet

3) Trees with significant historical or commemorative importance

2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual

1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

2) 20 – 40 (suitable)

0) <10 (unsuitable)

1) 10 – 20 (just suitable)

Evaluation by: 1.0 Date: 23.07.70 Sheet No. 4 of £									t	490 (13) 202¢		
Address/Site Details: Romales Monor Frank, Romales. B62 OET											110 (12) 2020	
Tree	Species	DBH	Amenity Assessment					d - other	Exped		ТРО	
Ref		(mm)	a - Condition	b - Longevity	c - Visibility	Sub		factors	iency	Score	Y/N?	, Notes
TI	Yew	est. 500	5	4	4	13		l	3	17	7	herioses differ last for
							Trees					Pour Les. B4551
							must					B4551
							thave					
	\wedge						e acc					
7		1	/				accrued					
			,				7+ p					
							points					
							(& n					
							no zer					
							zeros) to					
							o qua					
							qualify					
Part 1: Amenity assessment a) Condition 5) Good (highly suitable) 3) Fair (suitable) 1) Poor (unlikely) 0) Unsafe 0) Dead		c) Relative public visibility 5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent features (V Ige=200sqm+) 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public (Ige=100-200sqm) 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only (Suitable, med=25-100sqm) 2) Small trees, or larger ones visible only with difficulty (Unlikely, small = 5-25sqm) 1) Young/v.small or not publicly visible regardless of size (prob unsuitable, <5sqm)						5) Known threat to tree 3) Foreseeable threat to tree 2) Perceived threat to tree 1) Precautionary only 0) Known as an actionable nuisance				APPENDIX
b) Longevity 5) 100+ 4) 40 – 100		 d) Other factors 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees 4) Members of groups of trees that are important for their cohesion 						Part 3: Decision guide Any 0 Do not apply TPO 1 – 6 TPO indefensible				\Box

16+

7 - 11

12 - 15

Does not merit TPO

Possibly merits TPO

Definitely merits TPO